

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,038	09/08/2003	Bor Z. Jang		1104
Nanotek Instruments Inc 9436 Parkside Dr			EXAMINER	
			MAPLES, JOHN S	
Centerville, OH 45458			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
<u> </u>				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
·	10/657,038	JANG, BOR Z.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John S. Maples	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,					
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 December 2006</u> .					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	— we see that the second for formal motters, proceedition as to the morits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-11 and 14-20</u> ie /are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,8-11 and 14-20</u> i e/ are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		•			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	· ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/657,038

Art Unit: 1745

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 14-17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the SO3H group, does not reasonably provide enablement for other counter-ions bonded to a polymer. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The only ion/counter-ion group set forth in the present specification is the SO3H group and so the claims must be limited to such.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
- The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The formula in claim 4 is incorrect. If, for example, m=0, then you have an oxygen to oxygen bond in the compound. Clarification is required.

Claim 11, dependent on claim 4, falls therewith.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/657,038

Art Unit: 1745

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by either Coleman et al.-US 5,891,511 (Coleman) or Coleman-US 6,165,388. ('388)

Reference is made to column 7, line 64 through column 8, line 34 of Coleman for Nafion having either lithium chloride or calcium chloride therein.

See column 7, line 41 through column 8, line 2 of '388 for Nafion having either lithium chloride or calcium chloride therein.

7. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Soczka-Guth et al.-US 6,355,149. ('149)

Reference is made to column 1, line 49 through column 2, line 36 for a polymer membrane having an SO3H group thereon including lithium chloride.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 3, 8-10 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Reid-US 6,403,249 (Reid) in view of '149.

Reid sets forth a Nafion membrane for a fuel cell as set forth in column 5, lines 18-30 and in all of the drawing figures. It is noted that the fuel cell structures set forth in claims 8-10 and 14 are well known in the art and make up the cell in Reid. The only claimed feature not taught by Reid is the deliquescent material in the membrane. As

Application/Control Number: 10/657,038 Page 4

Art-Unit: 1745

stated previously in this action, '149 teaches such. To include in the membrane of Reid the lithium chloride of '149 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art at the time the invention was made to enhance the properties of the membrane and hence of the fuel cell itself.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Both Bjerrum and Sakaguchi set forth chloride materials in membrane materials.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 571-272-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:15-3:45, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,038 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JSM/3-19-2007

JOHN S. MAPLES